



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.iispito.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/244,190	02/04/1999	KUNIAKI KOGA	12407	3452	
23389 75	590 03/21/2003				
	SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC			EXAMINER	
	00 GARDEN CITY PLAZA GARDEN CITY, NY 11530		ZIMMERMAN, BRIAN A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2635	10	
			DATE MAILED: 03/21/2003	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



- {						
Advisory Action		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/244,190	KOGA, KUNIAKI			
	Advicery Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Brian A Zimmerman	2635			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
There final r condi	REPLY FILED 13 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE To fore, further action by the applicant is required to a sejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ivoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to ich places the application	a n in		
	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) [b) [_	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the same same an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or	f the final rejection.			
nave be 37 CFF b) abo	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened ve, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2) as	n fee under set forth in		
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•				
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note t	below);				
(C	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simpl	ifying the		
(d) \square they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
	NOTE: displaying simultaneously has not been p	previously considered.				
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):				
4.□	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed am	endment		
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NOT pl	ace the		
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were ne	∍wly		
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
	Claim(s) allowed:					
	Claim(s) objected to:					
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-18.					
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) □ approved or b) □ disap	proved by the Examiner.	•		
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme Other:	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	Brian A Zimmerman Primary Examiner			
. Patent	and Trademark Office		Art Unit: 2635			

PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)